

Date of issue: Friday, 26 October 2018

<b>MEETING</b>	<b>PLANNING COMMITTEE</b> (Councillors Dar (Chair), M Holledge, R Bains, Carter, Cheema, Minhas, Plenty, Rasib and Smith)
<b>DATE AND TIME:</b>	WEDNESDAY, 31ST OCTOBER, 2018 AT 6.30 PM
<b>VENUE:</b>	VENUS SUITE 2, ST MARTINS PLACE, 51 BATH ROAD, SLOUGH, BERKSHIRE, SL1 3UF
<b>DEMOCRATIC SERVICES OFFICER: (for all enquiries)</b>	NICHOLAS PONTONE 01753 875120

### SUPPLEMENTARY PAPERS

The following Papers have been added to the agenda for the above meeting:-

\* Item 14 Tree Preservation Order No 3 of 2018 in respect of St Pauls Church, Stoke Road, Slough has been withdrawn.

\* Item 14a is an urgent item which the Chair has agreed to include on the agenda.

### PART 1

<u>AGENDA ITEM</u>	<u>REPORT TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>	<u>WARD</u>
14a	Tree Preservation Order No 02 of 2018 in respect of 116 Mercian Way, Slough, Berkshire, SL1 5LX	1 - 4	Cippenham Green

This page is intentionally left blank

**SLOUGH BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**REPORT TO:** Planning Committee                      **DATE:** 31<sup>st</sup> October 2018  
**CONTACT OFFICER:** Lorna Brown, Business Support Manager  
(For all Enquiries)                      (01753) 875829  
**WARD(S):** Cippenham Green

**PART I**  
**FOR DECISION**

**TREE PRESERVATION ORDER NO 02 OF 2018 IN RESPECT OF 116**  
**MERCIAN WAY, SLOUGH, BERKSHIRE, SL1 5LX**

1. **Purpose of Report**

- 1.1 To seek confirmation of Tree Preservation Order No. 02 of 2018 served on 23<sup>rd</sup> May 2018.

2. **Recommendation(s)/Proposed Action**

The Committee is requested to resolve that Tree Preservation Order No 2 of 2018 should be confirmed.

3. **The Slough Joint Wellbeing Strategy, the JSNA and the Five Year Plan**

3.1 **Slough Joint Wellbeing Strategy Priorities**

Protecting a tree with amenity value will have an impact upon the following SJWS priorities:

- *Regeneration and Environment*
- *Housing*

3.2 **Five Year Plan Outcomes**

Protecting the tree will not directly relate to any of the outcomes in the Five Year Plan.

4. **Other Implications**

(a) **Financial**

The proposed work can be carried out within existing budgets and so there are no financial implications of the proposed action.

(b) Risk Management

It is considered that the risks can be managed as follows:

<i>Recommendation</i>	<i>Risk/Threat/Opportunity</i>	<i>Mitigation(s)</i>
That the Tree Protection Order is confirmed.	Important tree which contributes to the local amenity will be lost as part of the redevelopment of the site.	Agree the recommendations.

(c) Human Rights Act and Other Legal Implications

There are no Human Rights Act Implications as a result of this report.

(d) Equalities Impact Assessment

There are no equality impact issues.

5.0 **Supporting Information**

5.1 On 23<sup>rd</sup> May 2018 a Tree Preservation Order (No.02 of 2018) was served on the following:

114, 116 & 118 Mercian Way

125 & 127 Moreton Way

With documents described as:

- (1) letter;
- (2) Formal Notice
- (3) Tree Preservation Order 02 of 2018; and

#### **(4) Guidance**

The reason given for making this Order was because 'it is considered pruning of the tree by perceived common law right will cause extensive irreparable damage be noticeable and detrimental to the amenity of the area; accordingly it would be expedient to make the tree subject to a TPO in the interests of protecting amenity it affords to the area.'

A site visit was carried out by our previous Tree Maintenance Officer, Julian Turpin and on his assessment a Tree Preservation Order was issued and served.

5.2 The Tree Preservation Order includes T1 - Oak (*Quercus robur*) Mature

5.3 Objections were received from residents from 3 no. addresses.

5.4 It is the considered the opinion of Julian Turpin the previous Tree Management Officer Slough Planning Department, that it is the responsibility of the Local Planning Authority to consider all requests for new Tree Preservation Orders with reference to the relevant guidelines. Please see below outcome of tree evaluation:

5.5.1 Condition & suitability for TPO - is good (Highly Suitable)

5.5.2 Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO – 100+ Years (Highly suitable)

5.5.3 Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO - Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only (Suitable)

#### **6. Conclusion**

In light of the above it is being recommended that Tree Preservation Order No. 2 of 2018 be Confirmed.

#### **7. Background Papers**

None other than those referred to in the report.

This page is intentionally left blank